Georgia, and thereby indirectly acquire Valdosta Bank and Trust, Valdosta,

4. Robinson Bancshares, Inc., Lenox, Georgia; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Bank of Lenox, Lenox, Georgia.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (D. Michael Manies, Assistant Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

- 1. Bugbee Family Limited Partnership, Quinter, Kansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 56.35 percent of the voting shares of Quinter Insurance, Inc., Quinter, Kansas, and thereby indirectly acquire First National Bank, Quinter, Kansas.
- 2. Central Bancshares, Inc., Cambridge, Nebraska; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of First Central Bank McCook, NA, McCook, Nebraska.
- C. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:
- 1. First Financial Bankshares, Inc., Abilene, Texas; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Cleburne State Bank, Cleburne, Texas.
- D. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Maria Villanueva, Manager of Analytical Support, Consumer Regulation Group) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105-1579:
- 1. Heritage Commerce Corp., San Jose, California; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Heritage Bank East Bay (in organization), Freemont, California.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, September 16, 1998.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 98–25253 Filed 9-21-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

TIME AND DATE: 12 noon, Monday, September 28, 1998.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20551. STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.

2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting. CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board; 202–452–3204.

supplementary information: You may call 202–452–3206 beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at http://www.federalreserve.gov for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.

Dated: September 18, 1998.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 98–25467 Filed 9–18–98; 3:20 pm] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 981-0154]

Dentists of Juana Diaz, Coamo, and Santa Isabel, Puerto Rico, et al.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 23, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Baer or Willard Tom, FTC/H–374, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326–2932 or 326–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been

placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for September 16, 1998), on the World Wide Web, at "http:// www.fte.gov./os/actions97.htm." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has agreed to accept, subject to final approval, a proposed consent order settling charges that thirteen dentists, practicing in three municipalities in southern Puerto Rico, violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. The proposed consent agreement settles charges that these thirteen dentists that practice in Juana Diaz, Coamo, and Santa Isabel, Puerto Rico, have fixed prices and concertedly refused to deal with the third-party payer selected for their region to provide services under Puerto Rico's Health Insurance Act of 1993.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the agreement. The analysis is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of either the proposed complaint or the proposed consent order, or to modify their terms in any way.

The proposed consent order has been entered into for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by any of the proposed respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in the complaint.